

**Addendum
No. 3**

Date: April 3, 2017

Project: LEONARD L. WILLIAMS JUSTICE CENTER
ELEVATOR NUMBER 10 & 11 MODERNIZATION
MC1002000358

The work herein shall be considered part of the bid documents for the referenced project and carried out in accordance with the following supplemental instructions issued in accordance with the Contract Documents without change in Contract Sum or Contract Time. Acknowledge receipt of addendum on the bid form as indicated.

RFI Responses

1. **Question:** The specifications, I believe due to possible funding issues, ask for a price option to only furnish and install or perform the modernization work to one elevator and to use what the industry terms as a “cross cancellation panel” to interface the new elevator controls with the existing elevator controls (if the budget does not allow for both elevators being upgraded). In speaking with the maintenance engineer and the owners Project Manager at the site visit last Monday, they were pretty adamant that they think the cross cancellation panel will provide the same efficiencies, features, and operations as a full duplex controller. The consultants and designers are correct when providing a cross cancellation panel as an option, but it definitely does not provide the same features and efficiencies that a full duplex controller operation would provide. Given the building engineer and the Project Managers concerns and how critical these elevators are to the operation of the building and particularly the Judges, I recommend that the owner consider not making a cross cancellation panel an option, and if budget does not allow, put this project on hold until both elevator controllers can be replaced with a fully duplexed system and operation. I am enclosing copies of two independent control suppliers explaining in detail how a cross cancellation controller works. The cross cancellation panels are ok for a temporary situation but I’m not sure it is in the best interest of the building and this application. Your response and possible changes to the bid form and specifications would be appreciated.

Answer: Provide bid as specified.

2. **Question:** We also recommend that given the sensitivity of the court rooms and Judges to noise, possible disruption in and around the elevators, that this project be performed during off hours from start to finish.

Answer: All work shall be completed on off hours as indicated in Addendum 2.

3. **Question:** Please provide copy of the Davis Bacon Wage Scale.
Answer: Prevailing Wage Rates are provided in the Specification Book.
4. **Question:** Please confirm that the entrance frames are being retained.
Answer: Entrance frames shall be retained in the base bid. Entrance frames shall be replaced in Alternate #2.
5. **Question:** Please confirm the location of the auxiliary fire panel, i.e. distance of the fire command room to the machine room.
Answer: Fire Panel is located on the First Floor as shown on the floor plan.
6. **Question:** Please confirm that no augmentation to the current cabs fire panel and meets current code.
Answer: Modifications to the elevator cab panel shall provide full compliance with requirements of the fire code, including, but not limited to, recall, phone access, fire department operation, etc.
7. **Question:** Are Elevators 10 & 11 ran on generators and where is the ATS switch?
Answer: Contractor shall be responsible to maintain emergency power to elevators 10 and 11.
8. **Question:** What type of Siren are you looking for to replace on top of the cab?
Answer: Provide code compliant equipment.
9. **Question:** Please confirm all door equipment is being replaced.
Answer: Yes. All mechanisms to operate doors are to be replaced. Cab doors are to remain.
10. **Question:** Print 9.1, Construction Key Note #C-13 states to furnish and install a new shunt trip breaker in a NEMA-1 enclosure for Elevator 10 & 11. However, per Detail #7/Partial Single Line Diagram on the same print, it appears that the 80A source breaker is to be replaced as well. Please confirm which breakers are to be replaced and their locations. The conduit type referenced throughout print 9.1 is "RMC," should this be interrupted as EMT conduit, which would match what is existing?
Answer: Replace both circuit breakers. Only RMC (Rigid Metal Conduit) is acceptable. No EMT is permitted in the elevator control room.

11. **Question:** In the drawings section A4.1 references replacement of the door frames and hoistway entrances if an alternate is chosen. Please elaborate.

Answer: *Alternate No. 2 – All labor and material to provide new corridor entrance doors for both elevators at all 14 landings. Include stainless steel door frames and hall panels and door sills.*

12. **Question:** There are 3 different type cab interior finishes noted thru-out the spec.(spec section 14-27-00-2 & 14-20-10-7 & drawing page A4.1) Snapcab needs to know which one the State would like to use in order to price out the material for the cab alternate.

Answer: Cab finishes shall be Snapcab, Tundra, or approved equivalent.

END