

## Addendum #2

Date: January 27, 2016  
Project: Lot 19 Storm Water improvement  
Contract: FD-15-041

---

The work herein shall be considered part of the bid documents for the referenced project and carried out in accordance with the following supplemental instructions issued in accordance with the Contract Documents without change in Contract Sum or Contract Time. Acknowledge receipt of addendum on the bid form as indicated.

---

### Clarifications:

### Revision to Summary of Events and Dates:

1. Wednesday, January 27, 2016 Mandatory Site Visit at Facilities Building (1:30PM EST)
2. Monday, February 08, 2016 Deadline for Questions (4:00PM EST)
3. Tuesday, February 09, 2016 Posting of Answers to Contractor Questions (4:00PM EST)
4. Tuesday, February 09, 2016 Final Date for Addendums
5. Thursday, February 11, 2016 Proposals Due (3:00 PM EST)
6. Thursday, February 18, 2016 Contractor Selection Date
7. Monday, April 04, 2016 Anticipated Start of Construction Date (subject to change)
8. Monday, April 18, 2016 Latest Date for Contract Award
9. **Thursday, June 30, 2016 Substantial Completion**

### Changes to Specifications:

1. None

### Changes to Drawings:

1. None

**General Information:**

1. As per addendum # 1

**Questions and Answers:**

1. **Question:** Provide further information on the DSU lot 19 Storm Water Project. Information on the Prints cover page # CC01 / Note #35 states that the asphalt is 3" thick with a 6" GABC. On page # CC02 // top right corner the details on the Pavement Core Results states that the asphalt is 4" thick and a GABC of 11". I would like for someone to please respond back and provide clarification on what information is correct, to provide pricing on the scope of work. Also noticed that sheet CE01 on the set of prints is incorrectly scaled because the calculation on material do not match the rest of the prints can you advised if this is to be scaled at 1"-20'

**Answer:** The 4" thick asphalt and 11" GABC existing pavement boring table shown on sheet CC02 is correct. Note 35 on CC01 is incorrect and should be disregarded. The plan scale on sheet CE01 should read 1" = 20 ft., not 1" = 10 ft.

2. **Question:**

END