

CHRISTINA SCHOOL DISTRICT

CHR20005-CAPRFQ

ARCHITECTURAL/ENGINEERING (A/E) DESIGN SERVICES,
CAPITAL PROJECTS

ADDENDUM No 2

2020/08/24

Answers to submitted questions in blue.

1. Is there a preference for an architecture firm to be prime or may an MEP firm be the prime consultant? **The preference would be for an architectural firm to be the prime consultant.**
2. Will this contract be a single award or will be it awarded to multiple successful teams? **The RFQ gives the Christina SD the ability to do either depending on what we believe is most advantageous for the District. My initial assessment of the projects on the RFQ (grouping similar projects together in a package) makes me believe that it is very likely that we will award to multiple successful teams.**
3. The RFQ asks to please provide an electronic pricing file, separate from the rest of the RFQ proposal responses. Can I assume that a pricing file would be something like a Billing Rate Schedule for our staff, and that we would submit this in the form of a PDF? **You do not need to provide pricing at this time. This is a request for qualifications. After a firm has been identified as most qualified for these projects, then that firm would be asked to provide a pricing proposal for negotiations with our District.**

Section number: N/A

Paragraph number: N/A

Page number: N/A

Text of passage being questioned: N/A

4. Question: Are copies of the Certificates of Necessity available for these projects? **Yes, I can send a copy upon request.**

Section number: N/A

Paragraph number: N/A

Page number: N/A

Text of passage being questioned: N/A

5. Question: Are we able to visit/tour the 6 schools named in the RFQ? **Yes, by appointment.**

Section number: III

Paragraph number: N/A

Page number: 2-3

Text of passage being questioned: Page limits noted for Sections III B, C, D, E and F

6. Question: For the page limits noted, does a double-sided sheet of paper count as 1 page or 2? [Each side of a paper equals 1 page.](#)

Section number: Appendix A

Paragraph number: Items 2 & 3

Page number: 39

Text of passage being questioned: References to "pricing"

7. Question: Confirming that all references to "pricing" should be eliminated from Appendix A – Minimum Mandatory Submission Requirements? [Yes, this is an RFQ. The District will negotiate fees with the top ranked A/E firm\(s\). You do not need to submit pricing at this time.](#)

8. Page 5, Section B, Paragraph 2 of the RFP states "Each proposal must be submitted with four (4) paper copies and one (1) electronic copy on CD or DVD media disk, or USB memory drive., however on page 39, Appendix A, Paragraph 14, it states "Four (4) paper copies of the vendor proposal paperwork. One (1) paper copy must be an original copy, marked "ORIGINAL" on the cover, and contain original signatures." Is the "original" copy necessary? [Yes, if there is a discrepancy between the "original" and the copies, the original would be primary.](#) And if so,
 - Are original signatures and notary marks required? [Yes](#)