

*Michael R. Wigley, AIA, LEED AP
W. Zachary Crouch, P.E.
Michael E. Wheedleton, AIA
Jason P. Loar, P.E.
Ring W. Lardner, P.E.*

**PIGMAN ARMORY SITE IMPROVEMENTS
BRIDGEVILLE HIGHWAY SEAFORD DE 19973
DBF #0999J011.P01 □ DEARNG # 19-2016
JULY 21, 2017
ADDENDUM NO. 4 (FINAL)**

The question period for this project ended on July 19, 2017 at 5PM The following Requests for Information (RFI) were received prior to the deadline. Responses shall become part of the contract documents. Contractor must acknowledge receipt of this addendum on the Bid Form. Failure to do so may subject the Bidder to disqualification.

RFI #6:

Has the drug affidavit been updated. I know that in Addendum 1 there was a new bid form, but the drug affidavit did not change. It was just the generic drug form. Is that correct?

RESPONSE:

The drug affidavit form did not change, but is considered part of the bid form, therefore, it was provided with the new bid form.

RFI #7:

Are there any Liquidated Damages or other penalties associated with this contract?

RESPONSE:

There are no liquidated damages associated with this project. Contractor is encouraged to review the specification section 00 72 13 General Conditions of the Contract, Items 9.5 (Decisions to Withhold Certification), 9.8 (Substantial Completion), and 9.10 (Final Completion and Final Payment). Contractor is also encouraged to review section 00 81 13 General Requirements, Article 4.1 Administration of the Contract.

In summary, while there are no liquidated damages, there are situations in which the owner can withhold payment and/or retainage from the contractor if the conditions of the contract are not

Pigman Armory Site Improvements
Addendum #4
July 20, 2017
Page 2
met.

RFI #8:

Per drawing C-01 King Tut Blocks:

1. *List of Suppliers for the King Tut Block*
2. *Is the King Tut Block Plastic or Concrete?*
3. *Color if Plastic*
4. *What are the dimensions? Drawing says approx.*

RESPONSE:

It was explained during the meeting that the **boulders** in Alternate 1 must only meet the requirements of the King Tut Blocks (which are concrete) in the UFC 4-022-02 (https://www.wbdg.org/FFC/DOD/UFC/ufc_4_022_02_2009_c1.pdf). The intent of utilizing the requirements of the King Tut Block is to provide the weight and barrier qualities of a King Tut Block. Contractor will not be providing actual King Tut Blocks, but **boulders** that are approximately 4' in height, and 4' in diameter, spaced 4' apart. Engineer has estimated this would be approximately 65 boulders. Owner recognizes that as boulders are a natural item, it will not conform to the exact measurements of a King Tut Block. That said, because the owner would like **boulders**, the question of plastic or concrete no longer applies. Owner does not have a preference of boulder type, supplier, or color as long as it meets the requirements specified above. Owner recognizes that boulders may not be locally available to the facility.

As noted above, this is the fourth and final addendum. Contractor must acknowledge receipt of all four addendums on the bid form.

Respectfully Submitted,
DAVIS, BOWEN & FRIEDEL, INC.



Ashton N. McLaughlin, P.E.
Civil Engineer